# Coordination and inclusion compounds formed by addition of quinoline (Q) or isoquinoline (Iq) to a metal(II) dibenzoylmethanate (Co, Ni, Zn, Cd)

Composition, structure and thermal dissociation properties

E. B. Okeke · D. V. Soldatov

CTAS2009 Special Chapter © Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, Hungary 2010

Abstract Three isomorphous series of new compounds are reported: complexes  $[M(DBM)_2Q_2]$  and  $[M(DBM)_2Iq_2]$  $(M = M(II) = Co, Ni, Zn, Cd; DBM is C_6H_5COCH COC_6H_5<sup>-</sup>) and inclusion compounds <math>[M(DBM)_2Q_2]^*Q$ (M = Co, Zn, Cd). All the compounds comprise a *trans* configured octahedral complex molecule. Inclusion compounds of modified Zn and Cd DBM complexes are reported for the first time and their inclusion ability is attributed to the *trans* isomeric state induced by the bulky Q or Iq ligand. The TG measurements indicate the following order of thermal stability of the complexes defined by the strength of the metal–ligand bonds: Ni > Co > Cd > Zn. The inclusion compounds do not follow this trend.

**Keywords** Metal complexes · Molecular crystals · Clathrates · DBM · *Cis–trans* isomerism · Thermal analysis · Stability

# Introduction

The design and studies of new inclusion compounds (clathrates) is a major direction in supramolecular chemistry and crystal engineering [1–3]. Current and potential applications of inclusion compounds are numerous: encapsulation, storage, and controlled release of gases [4, 5] and flavors [6]; separation, purification, and specific nanoconfined reactions of chemicals [7–11]; design of highly selective chemical sensors [12, 13]; creation of new

E. B. Okeke · D. V. Soldatov (🖂)

Department of Chemistry, University of Guelph, 50 Stone Rd. E, Guelph, ON N1G 2W1, Canada e-mail: dsoldato@uoguelph.ca formulations of pharmaceuticals [14] and design of bio-mimetic systems [15]. One of the most significant future applications of inclusion compounds is their use as materials that can reversibly change their structure and properties in the process of guest inclusion, the change being reproducible and individual for each particular guest [16–18].

Crystalline inclusion compounds have a crystal lattice built by the molecules of host, while the molecules of guest reside in the cavities of this lattice. No chemical bonds exist between host and guest; the solid is stabilized by favorable non-covalent interactions. The utilization of metal complexes as hosts is very convenient as whole series of new host molecules may be created by varying metal center and ligands in the complex formula [19]. Molecular host complexes as well as their inclusion compounds readily form and dissociate. Therefore, their synthesis can be well controlled thermodynamically, while thermal dissociation can be used to examine their stability and other fundamental parameters [20–23].

Our recent studies concentrated on a new type of host complexes, modified metal dibenzoylmethanates,  $[M(DBM)_2A_2]$ , where  $DBM = C_6H_5COCHCOC_6H_5^-$ , dibenzoylmethanateanion, and M = M(II) [24–32]. Several axial ligands A, such as pyridine, were utilized to yield a family of host molecules with so-called humming-top overall geometry [19]. In order to maintain such geometry, the complex must have *trans* configuration as shown in Fig. 1. After structural elucidation of the complexes and their inclusion compounds we became interested in better understanding what factors define the stability of these solid materials. In particular, we are interested in the role of the metal center.

In our previous studies on another type of hosts, Werner complexes, we succeeded in preparing several series of isostructural inclusions dissociating in a similar way and differing only by the metal center in the host complex in

Fig. 1 Molecular structure of a modified metal DBM (*left*) and complexes specifically studied in this work

each of the series. Thermal analysis and equilibrium vapor pressure studies were very helpful in explaining the thermodynamic parameters of the inclusion/dissociation process and factors defining the limits of existence for those solid materials [21, 31–36].

So far we were not able to prepare such a series for metal DBMs. It turned out that the complexes of Zn(II) and Cd(II) usually adopt *cis* configuration and differ in their properties from complexes formed by metal cations with partially filled d subshell. In the series of three  $[M(DBM)_2Py_2]$  complexes (Py = pyridine) only Ni complex has *trans* configuration and forms various inclusion compounds, while the corresponding Zn and Cd complexes have cis configuration and exist as guest-free solids [24]. With 2-methylpyridine as A, Ni complex again is *trans* and versatile as host, while the corresponding Zn complex does not form at all and Cd complex forms both trans and cis isomers, the cis isomer being thermodynamically stable and guest-free [27]. Among similar complexes studied by other authors [Ni(benzoyl- $(DMSO)_2$ ,  $(DMSO)_2$ , (DMSO = dimethylsulfoxide) [37] and  $[Ni(benzoylacetone)_2(adamantylamine)_2]$  [38] both reported as *trans* isomers may be compared with [Cd(DBM)<sub>2</sub>  $(DMSO)_2$ ] which is *cis* [39].

However, the energy difference between *cis* and *trans* configurations does not seem to be very large for this type of complexes. There are many examples when the same complex was isolated in both *trans* and *cis* configurations in different solids. For example  $[Zn(hfa)_2(H_2O)_2]$  (hfa is perfluorinated acetylacetonate) may be isolated both as *trans* and as *cis* isomers [40]. Analogous Ni complex was observed in both *trans* [41] and *cis* [42] forms; analogous Co complex was also isolated in *trans* [43, 44] and in *cis* [45] forms; finally, only *cis* isomer was reported for  $[Cd(hfa)_2(H_2O)_2]$  [46]. There are two examples when bischelate complexes of Cd were isolated both as *trans* and *cis* isomers related to each other as true polymorphs [47, 48].

In this study, we attempted to prepare and study a series of host complexes of four metal DBMs (Co, Ni, Zn, Cd) using bulky axial ligands, quinoline (Q), and isoquinoline (Iq). These ligands would make *cis* configuration less preferred due to sterical reasons and could favor the formation of desired *trans* isomers for the whole series.

#### Experimental

## Preparations

Metal dibenzoylmethanates  $M(DBM)_2$  were synthesized as described elsewhere [24, 49]. Complexes were prepared by adding 125–140 mg (0.25 mmol) of the corresponding  $M(DBM)_2$ , 78 mg (0.6 mmol) of quinoline or isoquinoline and an excess of solvent (3 ml or more if necessary). The mixtures were transformed into transparent solutions by heating (red, green, or colorless), filtered if needed, and then allowed to slowly cool down to room temperature. Typically the products were recovered the next day. If no solid formed, the solutions were allowed to evaporate.

All products were crystalline. Typical yields were from 50 to 80%. Co compounds were orange, Ni compounds were green, Zn and Cd compounds were colorless. For TG and other experiments, the crystals were pressed to dryness on filter paper and then dried for  $\sim 1$  h. All products were stable in air for days. For single crystal XRD measurements crystals were taken directly from mother solutions.

For each of four metal DBMs and quinoline, eight solvents were applied: acetone, benzene, DMSO, ethanol, nitromethane, THF, toluene, and neat quinoline. Complexes  $[M(DBM)_2Q_2]$  obtained from non-quinoline solvents grew as plates. Identity of the products formed from different solvents was confirmed by powder XRD analysis. The inclusions  $[M(DBM)_2Q_2]^*Q$  obtained from quinoline grew up as prisms for Co, Zn, and Cd. Numerous crystallizations of Ni(DBM)<sub>2</sub> from neat quinoline always yielded only  $[Ni(DBM)_2Q_2]$  complex.

For each of four metal DBMs and isoquinoline five solvents were applied: acetone, benzene, DMSO, nitromethane, and neat isoquinoline. Complexes [M(DBM)<sub>2</sub>Iq<sub>2</sub>] obtained from the solvents grew either as plates or slanted truncated blocks. Identity of the products formed from different solvents was confirmed by powder XRD analysis and/or single crystal XRD examination of randomly selected crystals.

## XRD studies

Powder XRD patterns were recorded on a STOE or Bruker D8 instruments with  $CuK_{\alpha}$  radiation ( $\lambda = 1.5418$  Å) in 5–30°  $2\theta$  range. No special precautions were taken to protect the samples.

Single crystals, or pieces cut therefrom, were studied on a Bruker diffractometer with Kappa goniometer, CCD detector and Mo $K_{\alpha}$  source ( $\lambda = 0.7107$  Å). Unit cell parameters were determined using 300–800 reflections taken from several dozens of frames collected starting at three different  $\varphi$  positions. Some experimental parameters and refined unit cell dimensions are listed in Table 1. Full diffraction data were collected at -100 °C and only preliminary data are presented here; complete results of the single crystal structural study will be reported elsewhere.

# Thermal analyses

Thermogravimetric measurements were conducted on a Q5000 IR analyzer (TA Instruments). The samples varying in mass (see Table 2) were heated in linear regime (5°/min) and in the flow of nitrogen (25 mL/min) from room temperature to ~400 °C. Samples were gently grounded to achieve uniform distribution of heat.

In order to reduce the dependence of the quantitative measurements on texture and size of a sample, a variety of independently prepared samples was analyzed. In particular, the samples of complexes obtained from the different solvents utilized were studied. The results are given with standard deviations enclosed in round brackets and expressed in the units of the last significant figure (Table 2).

As the purpose of this study was to compare thermal properties of compounds with different metals and

different molecular mass, the mass loss on the TG curves was expressed as x, the ratio of moles of volatile component (Q or Iq) per mole of M(DBM)<sub>2</sub> in a compound studied:  $x = (m_{exp} - m_{ref}) \times M_{ref}/M_Q \times m_{ref}$ , where  $m_{exp}$ is the sample mass measured in a TG experiment,  $m_{ref}$  is the mass of M(DBM)<sub>2</sub> in the compound,  $M_{ref}$  and  $M_Q$  are molecular masses of M(DBM)<sub>2</sub> and Q, respectively. The  $m_{ref}$  value is readily defined from the TG curve when the plateau of M(DBM)<sub>2</sub> is well pronounced. If the plateau is not satisfactory,  $m_{ref}$  is calculated from initial mass assuming certain stoichiometry. For another instance when the described method was applied see our earlier paper [28].

## **Results and discussion**

Structure of the compounds isolated

The 11 compounds isolated and studied in this work fall into three isomorphous series (Table 1):

Table 1 Single crystal XRD measurements of unit cell dimensions of the isolated compounds at room temperature

| Compound   | Solvent used | Crystal description | Crystal<br>sizes/mm           | No of<br>reflections<br>used | a/Å<br>α/°             | b/Å<br>β/°             | c/Å<br>γ/°            | V/Å <sup>3</sup><br>Z <sup>a</sup> | $D_{\rm calc}/{\rm g~cm}^{-3}$ |
|--|--------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| [Co(DBM) <sub>2</sub> Q <sub>2</sub> ]               | Acetone      | Red plate           | $0.1 \times 0.5 \times 0.5$   | 796                          | 10.390(5)              | 16.673(7)              | 22.32(1)              | 3867(3)                            | 1.312                          |
|  |              |                     |                               |                              | 90                     | 90                     | 90                    | 4                                  |                                |
| [Ni(DBM) <sub>2</sub> Q <sub>2</sub> ]               | Nitromethane | Green plate         | $0.02 \times 0.3 \times 0.3$  | 550                          | 10.321(6)<br>90        | 16.804(8)<br>90        | 22.25(1)<br>90        | 3859(3)<br>4                       | 1.314                          |
| $[Zn(DBM)_2Q_2]$                                     | Nitromethane | Colorless plate     | $0.3 \times 0.4 \times 0.4$   | 729                          | 10.394(5)<br>90        | 16.765(7)<br>90        | 22.26(1)<br>90        | 3879(3)<br>4                       | 1.319                          |
| $[Cd(DBM)_2Q_2]$                                     | Toluene      | Colorless plate     | $0.3 \times 0.4 \times 0.5$   | 750                          | 11.048(5)<br>100.26(1) | 17.869(7)<br>93.18(1)  | 20.356(9)<br>91.19(1) | 3946(3)<br>4                       | 1.375                          |
| [Co(DBM) <sub>2</sub> Q <sub>2</sub> ]*Q             | Quinoline    | Orange<br>prism     | $0.05 \times 0.05 \times 0.2$ | 137                          | 9.280(4)<br>83.86(2)   | 9.428(4)<br>88.53(2)   | 13.380(5)<br>76.90(2) | 1134(1)<br>1                       | 1.308                          |
| [Zn(DBM) <sub>2</sub> Q <sub>2</sub> ]*Q             | Quinoline    | Colorless<br>prism  | $0.35 \times 0.4 \times 0.5$  | 467                          | 9.302(6)<br>83.86(1)   | 9.349(6)<br>88.51(1)   | 13.328(9)<br>76.85(1) | 1122(1)<br>1                       | 1.331                          |
| [Cd(DBM) <sub>2</sub> Q <sub>2</sub> ]*Q             | Quinoline    | Colorless<br>prism  | $0.2 \times 0.3 \times 0.4$   | 575                          | 9.397(7)<br>84.03(1)   | 9.164(6)<br>88.62(1)   | 13.85(1)<br>77.36(1)  | 1158(1)<br>1                       | 1.358                          |
| $\left[ Co(DBM)_2 Iq_2 \right]^b$                    | Nitromethane | Orange<br>plate     | $0.02 \times 0.5 \times 0.5$  | 349                          | 17.66(1)<br>90         | 11.192(7)<br>111.00(2) | 20.96(1)<br>90        | 3868(4)<br>4                       | 1.312                          |
| [Ni(DBM) <sub>2</sub> Iq <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>b</sup> | Isoquinoline | Green<br>plate      | $0.1 \times 0.2 \times 0.4$   | 462                          | 17.81(1)<br>90         | 11.012(6)<br>111.38(1) | 20.99(1)<br>90        | 3833(4)<br>4                       | 1.323                          |
| $[Zn(DBM)_2Iq_2]^b$                                  | Ethylacetate | Colorless<br>block  | $0.5 \times 0.5 \times 0.5$   | 476                          | 17.60(1)<br>90         | 11.244(6)<br>111.02(1) | 20.76(1)<br>90        | 3835(4)<br>4                       | 1.334                          |
| $\left[Cd(DBM)_2Iq_2\right]^b$                       | Isoquinoline | Colorless<br>block  | $0.4 \times 0.5 \times 0.5$   | 689                          | 17.078(7)<br>90        | 11.866(5)<br>109.44(2) | 20.01(1)<br>90        | 3824(3)<br>4                       | 1.420                          |

<sup>a</sup> Assumed value

<sup>b</sup> C-cell

| Compound                                 | No of samples studied <sup>a</sup> | Sample mass range/mg | $T_{\rm onset}/^{\circ}{\rm C}$ | Mass loss/%          | Calc. mass loss/% | Model  |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------|
| Co(DBM) <sub>2</sub>                     | 1                                  | 5.7                  | $\sim 280^{\rm e}$              | _                    | -                 | (dec.) |
| Ni(DBM) <sub>2</sub>                     | 1                                  | 4.1                  | $\sim 293^{\rm e}$              | -                    | -                 | (dec.) |
| Zn(DBM) <sub>2</sub>                     | 1                                  | 4.6                  | $\sim 266^{\rm e}$              | -                    | -                 | (dec.) |
| Cd(DBM) <sub>2</sub>                     | 1                                  | 5.8                  | $\sim 252^{\rm e}$              | -                    | -                 | (dec.) |
| [Co(DBM) <sub>2</sub> Q <sub>2</sub> ]   | $7^{\mathrm{b}}$                   | 0.4–6.3              | 150(2)                          | 34.6(3)              | 33.8              | -2Q    |
| [Ni(DBM) <sub>2</sub> Q <sub>2</sub> ]   | $7^{\mathrm{b}}$                   | 2.8-6.0              | 154(2)                          | 34.8(2)              | 33.8              | -2Q    |
| $[Zn(DBM)_2Q_2]$                         | 7 <sup>b</sup>                     | 3.8-10.0             | 96(1)                           | 33.9(1)              | 33.5              | -2Q    |
| $[Cd(DBM)_2Q_2]$                         | $7^{\mathrm{b}}$                   | 1.6–7.5              | 124(1)                          | 31.7(1)              | 31.6              | -2Q    |
| [Co(DBM) <sub>2</sub> Q <sub>2</sub> ]*Q | 1                                  | 5.1                  | 89                              | 43.6                 | 43.4              | -3Q    |
| [Zn(DBM) <sub>2</sub> Q <sub>2</sub> ]*Q | 1                                  | 4.6                  | 66                              | 43.1                 | 43.1              | -3Q    |
| $[Cd(DBM)_2Q_2]^*Q$                      | 1                                  | 7.8                  | 103                             | 40.7                 | 40.9              | -3Q    |
| [Co(DBM) <sub>2</sub> Iq <sub>2</sub> ]  | 4 <sup>c</sup>                     | 1.2-6.2              | 142(3)                          | 34.2(2)              | 33.8              | -2 Iq  |
| [Ni(DBM) <sub>2</sub> Iq <sub>2</sub> ]  | 3 <sup>d</sup>                     | 2.5-4.3              | 155(1)                          | 28-31.5 <sup>f</sup> | 33.8              | -2 Iq  |
| $[Zn(DBM)_2Iq_2]$                        | 4 <sup>c</sup>                     | 5.3-7.3              | 107(1)                          | 33.8(1)              | 33.5              | -2 Iq  |
| $[Cd(DBM)_2Iq_2]$                        | $4^{\rm c}$                        | 4.3–7.1              | 125(2)                          | 32.1(1)              | 31.6              | -2 Iq  |

Table 2 The parameters of thermal dissociation of studied compounds as derived from TG experiments: average values with standard deviations

<sup>a</sup> Independently prepared samples

<sup>b</sup> Crystals obtained from acetone, benzene, DMSO, ethanol, nitromethane, THF, and toluene

<sup>c</sup> Crystals obtained from acetone, benzene, DMSO, and nitromethane

<sup>d</sup> Crystals obtained from acetone, DMSO, and nitromethane

e Broad onset

<sup>f</sup> The plateau of Ni(DBM)<sub>2</sub> is not well defined on the thermograms

$$\begin{split} & \left[M(DBM)_2Q_2\right], \quad \text{where} \quad M=Co,Ni,\ Zn,Cd; \\ & \left[M(DBM)_2Q_2\right]*Q, \quad \text{where} \quad M=Co,Zn,Cd; \\ & \left[M(DBM)_2Iq_2\right], \quad \text{where} \quad M=Co,Ni,Zn,Cd. \end{split} \end{split}$$

Compounds  $[M(DBM)_2Q_2]$  are orthorhombic and isostructural except for Cd-complex which, evidently, has a similar structure distorted to triclinic. Preliminary single crystal XRD studies indicate that the first three compounds crystallize in space group *Pcab* with *trans*  $[M(DBM)_2Q_2]$ complex molecules (centrosymmetric) (Fig. 2a). The Cd-compound is similar but crystallizes in *P*–1 with three crystallographically different molecules in the unit cell (two are centrosymmetric and one is asymmetric).

Compounds  $[M(DBM)_2Q_2]^*Q$  are triclinic (P-1) and isostructural with each other. The crystal structure comprises a centrosymmetric *trans*  $[M(DBM)_2Q_2]$  host complex (Fig. 2b) and a molecule of guest quinoline. The molecule of the host complex adopts a very similar conformation as in guest-free crystals (cf. Fig. 2a, b). The molecules of guest quinoline are retained inside cavities of the crystal lattice and form only van der Waals contacts to other molecules of the crystal structure (Fig. 3). Therefore, the crystals are inclusion compounds, where two moles of quinoline are incorporated as ligands in the host molecule and one extra mole of quinoline is included as guest. Inclusion compounds with an organic molecule playing the role of both a ligand and guest are common [21, 34–36, 50–58] and, in the cases where the guest molecule is included only due to van der Waals forces, it may be replaced with another molecule that is chemically different but possesses similar shape and dimensions [59, 60].

Compounds [Ni(DBM)<sub>2</sub>Iq<sub>2</sub>] are monoclinic (C2/c) and isostructural. The crystal consists of *trans* (centrosymmetric) complex molecules (Fig. 2c).

One can immediately see the similarity of the complex molecules observed in the studied structures (Fig. 2). All possess trans configuration with two DBMs forming a bischelate unit in the equatorial plane and two Q or Iq ligands coordinated axially. The coordination environment is thus a slightly distorted octahedral. For instance, in Zn complexes the Zn-O distance varies within 2.04-2.09 Å, the Zn-N distance varies within 2.19-2.30 Å and coordination angles are in the range 86°-94°. The conformations of the molecules are also quite similar. The phenyl rings of the DBM units rotate from the equatorial planes by 13-30°. The plane of Q or Iq forms 80-86° dihedral angle with the equatorial plane. The Q ligand is almost in the plane dividing the bis-chelate fragment into two DBMs, deviating from the plane by  $\sim 12^{\circ}$  and  $\sim 6^{\circ}$  in the guest-free and inclusion crystals, respectively. This positioning is understandable from steric considerations as the only possibility

(a)







**Fig. 3** Crystal packing in the inclusion compound  $[Zn(DBM)_2Q_2]^*Q_2$  projection down the *a* axis. For clarity the host molecules are outlined by *sticks*, while the guest molecules are shown in *stick-and-balls*. H-atoms are omitted

for the bulky Q moiety to approach the coordination center. The Iq ligand is less restricted and rotates from the plane by  $\sim 20^{\circ}$ .

The main results of the structural studies are the following. First, the complex molecules in the isolated crystals are all *trans*. Therefore, the utilization of bulky ligands helped to produce *trans* complexes that are more likely to form inclusion compounds. It should be noted that big ligands were used in the past to modulate properties of known host complexes [61, 62] but in this study we use the bulky ligands to endow complexes that do not have host properties with inclusion capability. Second, the complexes with quinoline do form inclusion compounds. The guest quinoline can be replaced with other molecules. Our ongoing studies yielded a series of such inclusions with naphthalene, substituted benzenes, and cyclohexanes; this work is currently underway. Third, the compounds isolated in this study form three series with the same stoichiometry and similar molecular and crystal structure in each of the series and thus they are convenient objects for comparative thermoanalytical studies.

Thermal stability and dissociation of the compounds

TG results confirm stoichiometry of all the compounds studied (as shown in Table 2). All the compounds contain one volatile component (Q or Iq), while M(DBM)<sub>2</sub> complex salts have relatively high thermal stability and start to decompose only after the volatile component has been completely released (Table 2). Therefore, the TG curves display a well-defined plateau of M(DBM)<sub>2</sub> almost in all experiments (Figs. 4, 5, 6). The presence of only one volatile component makes TG results unambiguous and useful for the determination of stoichiometry of inclusion/ coordination compounds and identification of the products of their thermal dissociation [21, 34, 36, 63–68]. Although closer look reveals that the stoichiometry of intermediate states in some cases is irregular, the total amounts of released  $\Omega$  or Ia correspond well to the values calculated

states in some cases is irregular, the total amounts of released Q or Iq correspond well to the values calculated from the formulas (Table 2; Figs. 4, 5, 6). It should be noted that irregular stoichiometries have been observed in thermal dissociation of complexes with quinoline and other bulky ligands [64–68] and may indicate either solid-state chemical transformations or the formation of a liquid phase in the sample.



**Fig. 4** Thermal dissociation of  $[M(DBM)_2Q_2]$  complexes. For easier comparison, the graphs show the ratio x = Q:  $M(DBM)_2$  in the compound (for further explanation see "Experimental"). Calculated compositions are shown by *dashes*. *Symbols* on the curves are added to distinguish curves belonging to different compounds



Fig. 5 Thermal dissociation of  $[M(DBM)_2Q_2]^*Q$  inclusion compounds (see comments to Fig. 4)



Fig. 6 Thermal dissociation of  $[M(DBM)_2Iq_2]$  complexes (see comments to Fig. 4)

Qualitative consideration reveals a one-step dissociation mode for most complexes:

$$\begin{split} & M(DBM)_2Q_2\big] \to M(DBM)_2 + 2Q^{\uparrow} \quad (M = Co, Ni, Zn) \\ & M(DBM)_2Iq_2\big] \to M(DBM)_2 + 2Iq^{\uparrow} \quad (M = Co, Zn, Cd) \end{split}$$

Among inclusions, only the Co-compound dissociates exhibiting a clear plateau of the corresponding host complex:

$$\left[\text{Co}(\text{DBM})_2\text{Q}_2\right]{}^*\text{Q} \rightarrow \left[\text{Co}(\text{DBM})_2\text{Q}_2\right] + \text{Q}{}^*$$

For the Zn-compound, the plateau is barely pronounced. The dissociation curve of Cd-compound is complicated due to melting of  $[Cd(DBM)_2Q_2]^*Q$  and  $[Cd(DBM)_2Q_2]$  at 120 and 157 °C (DSC results), respectively.

The comparison of thermal stability is useful in series of stoichiometrically similar compounds undergoing the same kind of dissociation reaction. The order of thermodynamic stability can be estimated in such studies taking into account that major contributors to the difference in thermodynamic stability are the volatility of the released component and how strongly the volatile component is retained in the solid. For coordination compounds (volatile component is a ligand), the second parameter is defined mainly by the enthalpy term, which is the strength of the coordination bond. For inclusion compounds (volatile component is a guest), the enthalpy contribution to the second parameter is defined by the complementarity of the guest molecule to the cavity in the host lattice. More extended discussion on the subject was given elsewhere [28, 69–71]. However, inclusion compounds usually demonstrate the compensation effect [72-78] and inclusion compounds with guest occupying larger cavities gain in stability due to higher entropy. The stability of inclusion compounds based on metal complexes may be also limited

by the strength of coordination bonds in the host complex if it is not sufficiently stable [34–36].

In this study, the comparison can be made using the onset temperatures of the initial dissociation step in series of isomorphous compounds. The comparison is based on the assumption that at the beginning the dissociation processes are similar and not complicated by melting or other events. In both  $[M(DBM)_2Q_2]$  and  $[M(DBM)_2Iq_2]$  series, the order of stability is

The sequence is well defined and beyond experimental errors (Table 2). The stabilities of  $M(DBM)_2$  clearly follow the same trend (Table 2). The trend is defined by the relative strength of metal–ligand bonds and often seen in other series of coordination compounds [79–83]; in many such studies the thermal stability of coordination solids correlates with the strength of the metal–ligand bonds determined spectroscopically; although, the structural similarity of the compounds was not always confirmed.

The onset temperatures of thermal dissociation of the host complexes  $[M(DBM)_2Q_2]$  approximately define the limits of thermal stability for the corresponding inclusion compounds these complexes may form. The Co-, Cd-, and Zn-complexes start to dissociate at 150, 124, and 96 °C, respectively (Table 2). The dissociation of  $[M(DBM)_2Q_2]^*Q$  inclusions occurs at much lower temperature (89, 103, and 66 °C) and so does not have to be affected by the stability of the host. It is clear that the relative stability among the inclusion compounds differs from that for host complexes. In particular, the Cd-inclusion is the most stable. The released guest is the same in the  $[M(DBM)_2Q_2]^*Q$  series and therefore, as elaborated above, the stability difference should be a result of host–guest interactions.

The crystal structure analysis (at -100 °C) shows that the cavity in Cd-inclusion is larger than in Co- and Zncompounds and only in the Cd-inclusion the guest in the cavity is disordered over two positions. The unit cell dimensions at room temperature (Table 2) suggest that the tendency remains upon heating. Due to this disordering, the dissociation reaction entropy change is lower in case of Cdinclusion (the guest in its cavities has already some disorder before entering gas phase) and the dissociation temperature defined by  $T = \Delta H / \Delta S$  is higher. This way, the disordering of guest extends the temperature limit of existence of [Cd(DBM)<sub>2</sub>Q<sub>2</sub>]\*Q inclusion. Similar phenomenon was previously observed for inclusions that experience polymorphous transitions due to order-disorder change in the guest subsystem: the conversion into more disordered phase reduces the temperature-caused growth of guest vapor pressure over the clathrate thus making it possible for the inclusion compound to exist at higher temperatures [34, 84].

#### Conclusions

This study introduces bulky quinoline and isoquinoline ligands in the modified M(DBM)<sub>2</sub> unit to yield two series of complexes (M = Co, Ni, Zn, and Cd). The special choice of ligands made it possible to produce trans configured complexes for Zn and Cd, with their overall geometry being similar to the analogous complexes of Co and Ni. For the first time, inclusion compounds of modified Zn and Cd dibenzoylmethanates were prepared proving that the inclusion ability of the host molecules is defined by their overall shape rather than chemical nature of the metal center. Therefore, appropriate choice of ligands may become a useful strategy of generating host complexes for metal cations which tend to exist in cis configuration through stabilization of their corresponding trans isomers. Moreover, the same strategy may become successful in creating complexes with switchable cis-trans capability. The phenomena of molecular and conformational isomerism controlled by weak intermolecular interactions is frequently encountered in molecular crystals [27, 40-45, 47, 48, 85–93] and may be utilized in the design of stimuliresponsive materials [17].

The *trans* complexes of Zn and Cd, as well as inclusion compounds they form, compare to those of Co and Ni not only structurally but also in terms of their bulk properties such as thermal stability and dissociation. The differences observed are rather quantitative than fundamental and reasonable correlations can be observed throughout each of the series. In overall, this study provides better understanding of the factors that on molecular level control the formation and properties of inclusion materials.

Acknowledgements This study was financially supported by the University of Guelph through a start-up grant and by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council through a Discovery Grant and Research Tools and Equipment Grant. We thank G. D. Enright and K. A. Udachin (Materials Structure and Function group at SIMS NRC), and S. F. Kycia and A. Gomez (Department of Physics at University of Guelph) for help with XRD measurements. We also thank D. Ben-Israel (University of Guelph) for TG of metal DBMs.

## References

- MacNicol DD, Toda F, Bishop R. Solid-state supramolecular chemistry: crystal engineering. In: Atwood JL, Davies JED, MacNicol DD, Vögtle F, editors. Comprehensive supramolecular chemistry, vol. 6. Oxford: Pergamon; 1996.
- Dyadin YA, Terekhova IS, Rodionova TV, Soldatov DV. Halfcentury history of clathrate chemistry. J Struct Chem. 1999;40: 645–53.
- 3. Soldatov DV, Terekhova IS. Supramolecular chemistry and crystal engineering. J Struct Chem. 2005;46:S1–8.
- 4. Enright GD, Udachin KA, Moudrakovski IL, Ripmeester JA. Thermally programmable gas storage and release in single

crystals of an organic van der Waals host. J Am Chem Soc. 2003;125:9896–7.

- Rudkevich DM. Progress in supramolecular chemistry of gases. Eur J Org Chem. 2007;3255–70.
- Madene A, Jacquot M, Scher J, Desobry S. Flavour encapsulation and controlled release—a review. Int J Food Sci Technol. 2006; 41:1–21.
- Toda F. Solid state organic chemistry: efficient reactions, remarkable yields, and stereoselectivity. Acc Chem Res. 1995;28: 480–6.
- 8. Bishop R. Designing new lattice inclusion hosts. Chem Soc Rev. 1996;25:311–9.
- Nassimbeni LR. Physicochemical aspects of host–guest compounds. Acc Chem Res. 2003;36:631–7.
- Seeber G, Tiedemann BEF, Raymond KN. Supramolecular chirality in coordination chemistry. Top Curr Chem. 2006;265: 147–83.
- Uemura T, Horike S, Kitagawa S. Polymerization in coordination nanospaces. Chem Asian J. 2006;1:36–44.
- Dickert FL, Haunschild A. Sensor materials for solvent vapor detection—donor–acceptor and host–guest interactions. Adv Mater. 1993;5:887–95.
- Ziganshin MA, Yakimov AV, Konovalov AI, Antipin IS, Gorbatchuk VV. Effect of the size of calixarene macrocycle on the thermodynamic parameters of formation of inclusion compounds in guest vapor-solid host systems. Russ Chem Bull. 2004;53: 1536–43.
- Davis ME, Brewster ME. Cyclodextrin-based pharmaceuticals: past, present and future. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2004;3:1023–35.
- Zhou H, Groves JT. Host–guest interactions of cyclodextrins and metalloporphyrins: supramolecular building blocks toward artificial heme proteins. J Porphyrins Phthalocyanines. 2004;8:125–40.
- Soldatov DV. Soft supramolecular materials. J Inclu Phenom. 2004;48:3–9.
- Soldatov DV. Stimuli-responsive supramolecular solids: functional porous and inclusion materials. In: Urban MW, editor. Stimuli-responsive polymeric films and coatings. ACS Symposium Series, vol. 912. Washington: ACS; 2005. p. 214–31.
- Friščić T, Meštrović E, Šamec DŠ, Kaitner B, Fábián L. One-pot mechanosynthesis with three levels of molecular self-assembly: coordination bonds, hydrogen bonds and host-guest inclusion. Chem Eur J. 2009;15:12644–52.
- Soldatov DV. Soft organic and metal-organic frameworks with porous architecture: from wheel-and-axle to ladder-and-platform design of host molecules. J Chem Crystallogr. 2006;36:747–68.
- Jóna E, Šimon P, Jorík V, Koman M. A thermochemical investigation of guest-host interactions in labile Werner clathrates of the [Ni(4-EtPy)<sub>4</sub>(NCS)<sub>2</sub>]\*G type (G = methyl derivatives of benzene). Thermochim Acta. 1997;290:219–25.
- Logvinenko VA, Soldatov DV. Processes of thermal dissociation of clathrates on the base of coordination compounds. J Therm Anal Calorim. 1999;56:485–92.
- 22. Nassimbeni LR. Useful techniques in host-guest chemistry. Supramol Chem. 2000;12:161–7.
- Logvinenko V, Drebushchak V, Pinakov D, Chekhova G. Thermodynamic and kinetic stability of inclusion compounds under heating. J Therm Anal Calorim. 2007;90:23–30.
- Soldatov DV, Enright GD, Ripmeester JA. Modified metal dibenzoylmethanates and their clathrates. Part I. Clathration ability of dipyridinebis(dibenzoylmethanato)nickel(II), a novel metalcomplex host. Supramol Chem. 1999;11:35–47.
- Soldatov DV, Ripmeester JA. 4-Vinylpyridine-modified nickel and cobalt dibenzoylmethanates as new hosts: Inclusions with carbon tetrachloride and chlorobenzene. Supramol Chem. 2001;12: 357–68.

- Soldatov DV, Ripmeester JA. Novel 4-vinylpyridine-extended metal-dibenzoylmethanate host frameworks: Structure, polymorphism, and inclusion properties. Chem Eur J. 2001;7:2979–94.
- Soldatov DV, Enright GD, Ratcliffe CI, Henegouwen AT, Ripmeester JA. Inclusion potential, polymorphism, and molecular isomerism of metal dibenzoylmethanates coordinated with 2-methylpyridine. Chem Mater. 2001;13:4322–34.
- Soldatov DV, Enright GD, Ripmeester JA. Inclusion ability of 4-phenylpyridine-extended nickel(II) dibenzoylmethanate, a new metal-complex host. Chem Mater. 2002;14:348–56.
- Soldatov DV, Enright GD, Zanina AS, Sokolov IE. Stabilization of guest-free forms of metal dibenzoylmethanate host type through self-inclusion of a ligand fragment into the intramolecular pocket. J Supramol Chem. 2002;2:441–8.
- Soldatov DV, Ripmeester JA. Ladder-and-platform metal-organic hosts: molecular and crystal structure of polymeric and dimeric host complexes incorporating nickel(II) dibenzoylmethanate units connected with 4,4'-bipyridyl. Mendeleev Commun 2004;101–3.
- Soldatov DV, Ukraintseva EA, Logvinenko VA. Structure and stability of a clathrate of bis(dibenzoylmethanato)-dipyridinenickel(II) with acetone (1:2). J Struct Chem. 2007;48:938–48.
- 32. Ukraintseva EA, Soldatov DV. Vapour pressure of guest and thermodynamic stability of inclusion compounds  $[Ni(DBM)_2-Py_2]^*2G$  (DBM = dibenzoylmethanate anion, G = pyridine, tetrahydrofuran and chloroform). J Inclu Phenom, 2010 (in press).
- 33. Soldatov DV, Logvinenko VA, Dyadin YA, Lipkowski J, Suwinska K.  $[MPy_4(NCO)_2]^*2Py$  clathrates (M = M(II) = Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, Cd; Py = pyridine). J Struct Chem. 1999;40: 757–71.
- 34. Soldatov DV, Ukraintseva EA, Logvinenko VA, Dyadin YA, Grachev EV, Manakov AY. Thermodynamic dissociation constants for  $[MPy_4(NO_3)_2]*2Py$  clathrates (M = Mn, Co, Ni, Cu). Supramol Chem. 2000;12:237–46.
- 35. Ukraintseva EA, Soldatov DV, Dyadin YA, Galkin PS, Mikheev AN. Vapor pressures of the pyridine guest and the thermodynamic parameters of dissociation of [MPy<sub>4</sub>(NCO)<sub>2</sub>]\*2Py inclusion compounds (M = Mn, Fe, Co, and Ni). Russ J Phys Chem. 2003; 77:1759–62.
- Ukraintseva EA, Soldatov DV, Dyadin YA. Thermodynamic stability of the [M(pyridine)<sub>4</sub>X<sub>2</sub>]\*2G clathrates as a function of the host components (M, X) and included guest (G). J Inclu Phenom. 2004;48:19–23.
- Meštrović E, Halasz I, Bučar D-K, Žgela M. Bis(dimethyl sulfoxide-κO)bis(1-phenylbutane-1,3-dionato-κ2O, O')nickel(II). Acta Crystallogr. 2004;E60:m367–9.
- Meštrović E, Bučar D-K. Bis(adamantylamine-κN)bis(1-phenylbutane-1,3-dionato-κ2O, O')nickel(II). Acta Crystallogr. 2005;E61: m522–4.
- Halasz I, Horvat M, Biljan T, Meštrović E. Structural, spectroscopic and thermal characterisation of bis(dibenzoylmethanato) Cd(II) adducts with dimethylsulfoxide and water. J Chem Crystallogr. 2008;38:793–800.
- 40. Adams RP, Allen HC Jr, Rychlewska U, Hodgson DJ. The EPR spectrum of Mn(II) doped into cis-diaquobis(1,1,1,5,5,5-hexafluoroacetylacetonato)zinc(II) and trans-diaquobis(1,1,1,5,5,5hexafluoroacetylacetonato)zinc(II) and the crystal structure of the host crystals. Inorg Chim Acta. 1986;119:67–74.
- Luneau D, Rey P, Laugier J, Belorizky E, Cogne A. Ferromagnetic behavior of nickel(II)-imino nitroxide derivatives. Inorg Chem. 1992;31:3578–84.
- Romero RR, Cervantes-Lee F, Porter LC. Structure of cisdiaquabis(hexafluoroacetylacetonato)nickel(II)]. Acta Crystallogr. 1992;C48:993–5.
- Adams H, Bailey NA, Fenton DE, Khalil RA. Cis- and transderived chain stacking in bis(hexafluoroacetylacetonato)metal(II)

tetramethylpyrazine complexes. Inorg Chim Acta. 1993;209: 55–60.

- Gulino A, Dapporto P, Rossi P, Fragalà I. A novel self-generating liquid MOCVD precursor for Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> thin films. Chem Mater. 2003;15:3748–52.
- Petrukhina MA, Henck C, Li B, Block E, Jin J, Zhang S-Z, et al. Spirocyclic sulfur and selenium ligands as molecular rigid rods in coordination of transition metal centers. Inorg Chem. 2005;44: 77–84.
- Gulino A, Castelli F, Dapporto P, Rossi P, Fragalà I. Synthesis and characterization of thin films of cadmium oxide. Chem Mater. 2002;14:704–9.
- 47. Gao H-L, Cheng C, Ding B, Shi W, Song H-B, Cheng P, et al. Hydrothermal synthesis of a series of novel *cis*- and *trans*-pydc complexes with three-dimensional supramolecular architectures (pydc = pyridine-2,5-dicarboxylic acid). J Mol Struct. 2005;738: 105–11.
- Qian H, Huang W. Synthesis and structural characterization of *cis*and *trans*-bis(4,4'-dimethyl-2,2'-bipyridine)cadmium(II) nitrates prepared in a one-pot reaction. Transit Met Chem. 2006;31: 347–52.
- Soldatov DV, Henegouwen AT, Enright GD, Ratcliffe CI, Ripmeester JA. Nickel(II) and zinc(II) dibenzoylmethanates: molecular and crystal structure, polymorphism, and guest- or temperature-induced oligomerization. Inorg Chem. 2001;40: 1626–36.
- Soldatov DV, Lipkowski J. Structure reinterpretation and determination of the clathrate nature of the compounds of the general formula MX<sub>2</sub>\*6Py. J Struct Chem. 1995;36:979–82.
- Soldatov DV, Lipkowski J. X-Ray diffraction study of a clathrate with quinoline-containing Werner complex ([NiQ<sub>4</sub>(NCS)<sub>2</sub>]\*2Q). J Struct Chem. 1997;38:811–8.
- 52. Rochon FD, Andruh M, Melanson R. Three-dimensional Hbonded supramolecular complexes. Synthesis and crystal structures of [Mn(μ-bpe)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>4</sub>](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>\*4(bpe)\*2H<sub>2</sub>O and [Mn (μ-bpe)(bpe)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>\*bpe\*H<sub>2</sub>O, where M = Zn, Ni and bpe = 1, 2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethane. Can J Chem. 1998;76:1564–70.
- 53. Tong M-L, Cai J-W, Yu X-L, Chen X-M, Ng SW, Mak TCW. Linear metal(II)-4,4'-bipyridine (4,4'-bpy) chains organized into two-dimensional rhombic networks by hydrogen bonding. Crystal structures of [Co(4,4'-bpy)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>4</sub>](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>\*(4,4'-bpy)<sub>2</sub>\*2H<sub>2</sub>O and [Zn(4,4'-bpy)(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>3</sub>(ClO<sub>4</sub>)](ClO<sub>4</sub>)\*(4,4'-bpy)<sub>1.5</sub>\*H<sub>2</sub>O. Aust J Chem. 1998;51:637–41.
- 54. Karunakaran C, Thomas KRJ, Shunmugasundaram A, Murugesan R. Synthesis, structure and spectroscopy of clathrate inclusion compounds of cobalt(II), cadmium(II) and zinc(II) *trans*-4-sty-rylpyridine nitrates as host with *trans*-4-styrylpyridine as guest (2:1). J Inclu Phenom. 2000;38:233–49.
- 55. Komarov VY, Ukraintseva EA, Soldatov DV, Enright GD, Galkin PS, Luboradzki R, et al. Crystal structure and thermodynamic stability of the [Hg(Pyridine)<sub>4</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]\*2(Pyridine) inclusion compound. J Inclu Phenom. 2004;50:227–33.
- 56. Izarova NV, Sokolov MN, Rothenberger A, Ponikiewski L, Fenske D, Fedin VP. Synthesis and crystal structure of a new metal-organic coordination polymer [Fe(4,4'-bpy)<sub>3</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>](PF<sub>6</sub>)<sub>2</sub> \*2(4,4'-bpy)\*5H<sub>2</sub>O with nanosized channels clathrate large organic molecules. C R Chimie. 2005;8:1005–10.
- De Lill DT, Gunning NS, Cahill CL. Toward templated metalorganic frameworks: synthesis, structures, thermal properties, and luminescence of three novel lanthanide-adipate frameworks. Inorg Chem. 2005;44:258–66.
- 58. Jiang J-J, Liu Y-R, Yang R, Pan M, Cao R, Su C-Y. The interplay of coordinative and hydrogen-bonding in directing the [M(4,4'bpy)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>] square-grid networks: formation of 3D porous framework [Cd(4,4'-bpy)<sub>2</sub>(H<sub>2</sub>O)<sub>2</sub>](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(4,4'-bpy)(CH<sub>3</sub>OH)<sub>2</sub>. CrystEngComm. 2008;10:1147–53.

- Soldatov DV, Dyadin YA, Lipkowski J, Ogienko AG. Clathrates of the [Cu(pyridine)<sub>4</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]. Mendeleev Commum. 1997; 11–3.
- Soldatov DV, Suwinska K, Lipkowski J, Ogienko AG. X-Ray structural analysis of the dinitratotetrapyridinecopper(II) complex and its clathrates with tetrahydrofuran and chloroform. J Struct Chem. 1999;40:781–9.
- Miklovič J, Krutošíková A, Baran P. Two furopyridine complexes of nickel(II) isothiocyanate. Acta Crystallogr. 2004;C60: m227–30.
- 62. Baran P, Boča M, Boča R, Krutošíková A, Miklovič J, Pelikán J, et al. Structural characterization, spectral and magnetic properties of isothiocyanate nickel(II) complexes with furopyridine derivatives. Polyhedron. 2005;24:1510–6.
- Mojumdar SC, Miklovič J, Krutošíková A, Valigura D, Stewart JM. Furopyridines and furopyridine-Ni(II) complexes, synthesis, thermal and spectral characterization. J Therm Anal Calorim. 2005;81:211–5.
- 64. Soldatov DV, Trushin PA, Logvinenko VA, Grachev EV. Clathrate forming ability of some  $[MgA_4X_2]$  complexes where A = 4-methylpyridine or pyridine and X = halogen or NCS. J Struct Chem. 1993;34:232–8.
- Dunstan PO. Thermochemistry of adducts of nickel(II) acetylacetonate chelate with heterocyclic bases. Thermochim Acta. 1998;317:165–74.
- Dunstan PO. Thermochemistry of adducts of manganese(II) and copper(II) pentane-2,4-dionate with heterocyclic amines. Thermochim Acta. 2000;356:19–25.
- 67. Dunstan PO. Thermochemistry of adducts of some bivalent transition metal bromides with quinoline. Thermochim Acta. 2008;468:21–6.
- Lajdová L', Jóna E, Šnircová S, Miklovič J, Segl'a P, Pajtášová M, et al. Thermal properties of solid complexes with biologically important heterocyclic ligands. J Therm Anal Calorim. 2009;96: 59–62.
- 69. Hart HJ, Smith NO. Thermodynamics and structure of the *p*-xylene and *p*-dichlorobenzene clathrates of tetra-(4-methylpyridine)nickel(II) thiocyanate. J Am Chem Soc. 1962;84:1816–9.
- Casellato F, Casu B. Thermal decomposition of clathrates formed between γ-picoline-type Werner complexes and aromatic compounds. Erdöl Kohle Erdgas Petrochem. 1969;22:71–7.
- Lipkowski J, Starzewski P, Zielenkiewicz W. Thermochemical studies of the clathration of aromatic guest compounds by the host–Ni(NCS)<sub>2</sub>(4-methylpyridine)<sub>4</sub> complex. Thermochim Acta. 1981;49:269–79.
- Kunsági-Máté A, Szabó K, Lemli B, Bitter I, Nagy G, Kollár L. Host-guest interaction between water-soluble calix[6]arene hexasulfonate and *p*-nitrophenol. Thermochim Acta. 2005;425: 121–6.
- Kunsági-Máté S, Szabó K, Lemli B, Bitter I, Nagy G, Kollár L. Unexpected effect of charge density of the aromatic guests on the stability of calix[6]arene-phenol host-guest complexes. J Phys Chem A. 2005;109:5237–42.
- Zielenkiewicz W, Marcinowicz A, Cherenok S, Kalchenko VI, Poznański J. Phosphorylated calixarenes as receptors of L-amino acids and dipeptides: calorimetric determination of Gibbs energy, enthalpy and entropy of complexation. Supramol Chem. 2006;18: 167–76.
- Carumanee S, Titwan A, Sirithunyalug J, Weiss-Greiler P, Wolschann P, Viernstein H, et al. Thermodynamics of the encapsulation by cyclodextrins. Chem Technol Biotechnol. 2006;81: 523–9.
- 76. Al Omari MM, Zughul MB, Davies JED, Badwan AA. Thermodynamic enthalpy-entropy compensation effects observed in the complexation of basic drug substrates with β-cyclodextrin. J Inclu Phenom. 2007;57:379–84.

- Koźbiał M, Poznański J. Experimental evidence of chiral crown ether complexation with aromatic amino acids. J Phys Org Chem. 2007;20:506–13.
- De Macedo JL, Ghesti GF, Dias JA, Dias SCL. Liquid phase calorimetry and adsorption analyses of zeolite beta acidity. Phys Chem Chem Phys. 2008;10:1584–92.
- Abate L, Gandolfo C. Thermal behavior of solid complexes of phenoxyalkanoic acids and divalent metals. IV. Study on cadmium and mercury(II) phenoxyacetates. J Therm Anal Calorim. 1996;46:5–14.
- Czakis-Sulikowska D, Radwańska-Doczekalska J, Markiewicz M, Pietrzak M. Thermal characterization of new complexes of Zn(II) and Cd(II) with some bipyridine isomers and propionates. J Therm Anal Calorim. 2008;93:789–94.
- Köse DA, Necefoğlu H. Synthesis and characterization of *bis*(nicotinamide) *m*-hydroxybenzoate complexes of Co(II), Ni(II), Cu(II) and Zn(II). J Therm Anal Calorim. 2008;93: 509–14.
- 82. Kostin GA, Borodin AO, Shubin YV, Kurat'eva NV, Emelyanov VA, Plyusnin PE, et al. Heterometallic complexes of  $Co^{2+}$ ,  $Ni^{2+}$ , and  $Zn^{2+}$  with the  $[RuNO(NO_2)_4OH]^{2-}$  anion and pyridine: synthesis, crystal structure, and thermolysis. Russ J Coord Chem. 2009;35:57–64.
- Souaya ER, Ismail EH, Mohamed AA, Milad NE. Preparation, characterization and thermal studies of some transition metal ternary complexes. J Therm Anal Calorim. 2009;95:253–8.
- Ukraintseva EA, Kislykh NV, Dyadin YA, Soldatov DV, Logvinenko VA. Clathrate formation in the [M(4-MePy)<sub>2</sub>(NCS)<sub>2</sub>]— 4-MePy systems, where M—transition metal(II); 4-MePy— 4-methylpyridine. IV. Vapour pressure of 4-methylpyridine over the clathrates [Ni(4-MePy)<sub>4</sub>(NCS)<sub>2</sub>]\*(4-MePy) and [Zn(4-MePy)<sub>4</sub> (NCS)<sub>2</sub>]\*(4-MePy). Sib Khim Zh. Issue 2;1993;50-7.
- Nassimbeni LR, Niven ML, Zemke KJ. Structures of diisothiocyanatotetrakis(1-phenyl-1-ethylamine)nickel(II): enclathration of organic guests with host isomerization. Acta Crystallogr. 1986; B42:453–61.

- 86. Ribas J, Monfort M, Resino I, Ghosh BK, Solans X, Font-Bardia M. Mononuclear bis-chelate and tris-chelate complexes of nickel(II) with selenocyanate. Molecular structure of [Ni(en)<sub>3</sub>] *cis*-[Ni(en)<sub>2</sub>(NCSe)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub>X<sub>2</sub> (X = PF<sub>6</sub>, ClO<sub>4</sub> and SeCN<sup>-</sup>) and *trans*-[Ni(en)<sub>2</sub>(NCSe)<sub>2</sub>]. Polyhedron. 1998;17:1735–9.
- 87. Soldatov DV, Ripmeester JA, Shergina SI, Sokolov IE, Zanina AS, Gromilov SA, et al.  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ -Bis(1,1,1-trifluoro-5,5-dimethyl-5-methoxyacetylacetonato)copper(II): transforming the dense polymorph into a versatile new microporous framework. J Am Chem Soc. 1999;121:4179–88.
- Laskar IR, Maji TK, Chaudhuri S, Ghosh A, Chaudhuri NR. Synthesis and characterization of *cis* and *trans* isomers of [NiL<sub>2</sub>(NCS)<sub>2</sub>] [L = 1-(2-aminoethyl)pyrrolidine]: X-ray singlecrystal structures. Polyhedron. 2000;19:1803–7.
- Sousa EHS, Oliveira CP, Vasconcellos LCG, Lopes LGF, Diógenes ICN, Carvalho IMM, et al. Thermal isomerization of *cis*-[Fe(cyclam)Cl<sub>2</sub>]Cl\*H<sub>2</sub>O complex in the solid state. Thermochim Acta. 2001;376:141–5.
- 90. Rybak WK, Skarżyńska A, Szterenberg L, Ciunik Z, Głowiak T. Oxo-entity-controlled diastereomer peculiarity of rhenium(V) complexes ReOX<sub>2</sub>(P∼O)py [X = Cl, Br, I; P∼O = (OCMe<sub>2</sub> CMe<sub>2</sub>O)POCMe<sub>2</sub>CMe<sub>2</sub>O(-1); py = pyridine]: synthesis and molecular and crystal structural characterization. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2005;4964–75.
- 91. Baidina IA, Krisyuk VV, Peresypkina EV, Stabnikov PA. Phenomenon of *trans-cis* isomerization of copper(II) β-diketonate on co-crystallization with lead(II) hexafluoroacetylacetonate. J Struct Chem. 2008;49:489–93.
- Stabnikov PA, Zharkova GI, Smolentsev AI, Ukraintseva EA, Soldatov DV. Crystal structure and thermodynamic stability of an acetone solvate of bis(trifluoroacetylacetonato)copper(II). J Struct Chem. 2008;49:1084–9.
- Bagryanskaya IY, Bartashevich EV, Nikulov DK, Gatilov YV, Zibarev AV. Intermolecular interactions and structural dichotomy in 1,3,2,4-benzodithiadiazine crystals. J Struct Chem. 2009;50: 127–36.